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Nonlinear Optimization

## The Obstacle Problem

We consider the following variational inequality:

$$
\text { Find } y \in K_{\psi}: \quad\langle L y-f(u), z-y\rangle_{H^{-1}(\Omega), H_{0}^{\prime}(\Omega)} \geq 0 \quad \forall z \in K_{\psi}
$$

- $K_{\psi}:=\left\{z \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega): z \geq \psi\right.$ q.e. $\}$
- $\psi$ quasi upper-semicontinuous with $K_{\psi} \neq \emptyset$
- $L \in \mathcal{L}\left(H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)$ coercive and strictly T-monotone, i.e.,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\langle L(v-z),(v-z)_{+}\right\rangle_{H^{-1}(\Omega), H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}>0 \\
\forall v, z \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega),(v-z)_{+} \neq 0
\end{array}
$$
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## The Obstacle Problem: Assumptions

$$
\text { Find } y \in K_{\psi}: \quad\langle L y-f(u), z-y\rangle_{H^{-1}(\Omega), H_{0}^{\prime}(\Omega)} \geq 0 \quad \forall z \in K_{\psi}
$$

Assumptions:

- $f: U \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$ Lipschitz continuous, continuously differentiable, monotone
- U partially ordered, separable Banach space
- $V$ partially ordered, separable Banach space, $V_{\geq 0}$ has an interior point
- continuous and order preserving embedding $\iota: V \hookrightarrow U$ with dense image in $U$
- prototype examples:
- $f=$ id on $U=H^{-1}(\Omega)$
- $f$ embedding of $U=L^{2}(\Omega)$ into $H^{-1}(\Omega)$
- $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow H^{-1}(\Omega)$ "finite-dimensional", $U=\mathbb{R}^{n}$
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## Notation: Solution Operator and Subsets of $\Omega$

- solution operator $S: U \rightarrow H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ of the obstacle problem
- Lipschitz continuous and monotone

Subsets of $\Omega$

- active set
$A(u)=\{\omega \in \Omega: S(u)(\omega)=\psi(\omega)\}$
- inactive set $I(u)=\Omega \backslash A(u)$
- strictly active set
$A_{s}(u)=f-\operatorname{supp}(L S(u)-f(u)) \subset A(u)$ (G.
Wachsmuth, 2014)
$\rightsquigarrow$ (fine) support of the Borel measure associated with $L S(u)-f(u) \in H^{-1}(\Omega)^{+}$

- weakly active set $A(u) \backslash A_{s}(u)$


## Outline

## Derivation of a Generalized Derivative

## Related Problems, Applications and Extensions

Remarks and Conclusion

## Outline

## Derivation of a Generalized Derivative

## Related Problems, Applications and Extensions

## Remarks and Conclusion

## Motivation

## Goal

Find an element of a suitable set of generalized derivatives for the solution operator of the infinite dimensional obstacle problem.

## Motivation

## Goal

Find an element of a suitable set of generalized derivatives for the solution operator of the infinite dimensional obstacle problem.

- can be used for infinite dimensional bundle methods (current cooperation with Hertlein, M. Ulbrich within SPP 1962) for optimal control of the obstacle problem
- gives theoretical insights into the problem structure of the obstacle problem
- results may be adapted and transferred to related problems
$\rightsquigarrow$ second part of the talk


## Generalized Differential

- $X$ separable, $Y$ separable, reflexive
- $T: X \rightarrow Y$ locally Lipschitz
- $D_{T}:=\{x \in X: T$ Gâteaux differentiable in $x\}$


## Bouligand generalized differential

$\partial_{B} T(u):=\left\{\Sigma \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y): T^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \Sigma\right.$ in the strong operator topology for some sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq D_{T}$ with $\left.\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n}=u\right\}$

- strong operator topology: $T^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) v \rightarrow \Sigma v$ in $Y$ for all $v \in X$
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## Strategy for Finding an Element $\xi$ in $\partial_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{u})$

- characterize Gâteaux derivative $S^{\prime}(u)$ in points $u$ of differentiability (characterization via (in)active sets)
- find a sequence $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ with a certain property (e.g. monotonicity) such that
- the property of the sequence guarantees that active sets are decreasing
- we can show that the derivatives $\left(S^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge to an element $\xi \in \mathcal{L}\left(U, H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ with respect to the strong operator topology
- we can characterize the limit $\xi$ exploiting the monotonicity properties
- $S$ is Gâteaux differentiable in each $u_{n} \rightsquigarrow$ Rademacher type argument
$\rightsquigarrow$ then $\xi$ is an element of $\partial_{B} S(u)$
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- $S$ is directionally differentiable with directional derivative $S^{\prime}(u ; h)$ in direction $h$ given by the unique solution $\xi$ to

$$
\text { Find } \xi \in \mathcal{K}_{K_{\psi}}(f(u)): \quad\left\langle L \xi-f^{\prime}(u ; h), z-\xi\right\rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{K}_{K_{\psi}}(f(u))
$$

(Mignot, 1976)

- structure of the critical cone $\mathcal{K}_{K_{\psi}}(f(u))=\mathcal{T}_{K_{\psi}}(S(u)) \cap(L S(u)-f(u))^{\perp}$ :

$$
\mathcal{K}_{K_{\psi}}(u)=\left\{z \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega): z \geq 0 \text { q.e. on } A(u), z=0 \text { q.e. on } A_{s}(u)\right\}
$$

- possible source of nondifferentiability: $A(u) \neq A_{s}(u)$ (violation of strict complementarity condition)


## Points of Gâteaux Differentiability
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Assume $S$ is Gâteaux differentiable in $u$, then $S^{\prime}(u ; h)$ also uniquely solves the VI with $\mathcal{K}_{K_{\psi}}(f(u))$ replaced by

- the largest linear subset of $\mathcal{K}_{K_{\psi}}(f(u))$ :

$$
H_{0}^{1}(I(u))=\left\{z \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega): z=0 \text { q.e. on } A(u)\right\}
$$

- the linear hull of $\mathcal{K}_{K_{\psi}}(f(u))$ :

$$
H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega \backslash A_{s}(u)\right)=\left\{z \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega): z=0 \text { q.e. on } A_{s}(u)\right\}
$$

- all linear subsets $H_{0}^{1}(D(u))$ for quasi-open sets $I(u) \subseteq D(u) \subseteq \Omega \backslash A_{s}(u)$
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## VE for the Gâteaux Derivative

In points of differentiability, $S^{\prime}(u ; h)$ is the solution $\xi$ of the VE/ Dirichlet problem on a quasi-open domain
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## Points of Gâteaux Differentiability

## VE for the Gâteaux Derivative

In points of differentiability, $S^{\prime}(u ; h)$ is the solution $\xi$ of the VE/ Dirichlet problem on a quasi-open domain

Find $\xi \in H_{0}^{1}(I(u)): \quad\left\langle L \xi-f^{\prime}(u ; h), z\right\rangle=0 \quad \forall z \in H_{0}^{1}(I(u))$.
$\rightsquigarrow$ need to investigate convergence properties of solutions to VIs/ VEs for a sequence of sets $\left(H_{0}^{1}\left(I\left(u_{n}\right)\right)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ to obtain an element of $\partial_{B} S(u)$

## Tool: Convergence of Solutions of VIs/ VEs

Theorem (Rodrigues, 1987)
Let $C_{n}, C$ be nonempty, closed, convex subsets of $X$ with $C_{n} \rightarrow C$ in the sense of Mosco and let $h_{n} \rightarrow h$ in $X^{*}$. Then the unique solutions $\left(\xi_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of

$$
\text { Find } \xi_{n} \in C_{n}: \quad\left\langle L \xi_{n}-h_{n}, z-\xi_{n}\right\rangle_{x^{*}, x} \geq 0 \quad \forall z \in C_{n}
$$

converge to the solution $\xi$ of the limit problem

$$
\text { Find } \xi \in C: \quad\langle L \xi-h, z-\xi\rangle_{x^{*}, x} \geq 0 \quad \forall z \in C
$$
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## Influence of Monotonicity



- piecewise quadratic obstacle $\psi$
- $S(0)$ in red
- strict complementarity condition is not satisfied in $u=0$ but in the instances plotted for $u \leq 0$ and $u \geq 0$
- $S(u)$ for $u \leq 0$ in green
- $S(u)$ for $u \geq 0$ in blue
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- 'stable' from below
- 'unstable' from above
$\rightsquigarrow$ Mosco convergence of the sets $\left(H_{0}^{1}\left(I\left(u_{n}\right)\right)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ towards $H_{0}^{1}(I(0))$ might not hold for a decreasing sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converging to 0
- opposite behavior occurs for the sets $H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega \backslash A_{s}\left(u_{n}\right)\right)$


## Mosco Convergence of the Sets $\mathrm{H}_{0}^{1}\left(\mathrm{l}\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right)$

## Theorem (R., Ulbrich, SICON 2019)

Let $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing sequence such that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$. Then $H_{0}^{1}\left(I\left(u_{n}\right)\right) \rightarrow H_{0}^{1}(I(u))$ in the sense of Mosco.

## Mosco Convergence of the Sets $\mathrm{H}_{0}^{1}\left(1\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right)$

## Theorem (R., Ulbrich, SICON 2019)

Let $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing sequence such that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$. Then $H_{0}^{1}\left(I\left(u_{n}\right)\right) \rightarrow H_{0}^{1}(I(u))$ in the sense of Mosco.

## Proof strategy:

- consequence of the monotonicity structures of the sets $H_{0}^{1}\left(I\left(u_{n}\right)\right)$ and the Lipschitz continuity of $S$
- use tools and approximation results for elements in $H_{0}^{1}(I(u))$ (quasi-converings etc.) (Kilpeläinen, Maly, 1992)


## A Generalization of Rademacher's Theorem

Theorem (Mignot, 1976; Aronszajn, 1976)
Let $X$ be a separable Banach space and let $Y$ be a Hilbert space. Let $S$ be a Lipschitz function from $X$ into $Y$. Then the set of points in $X$ where $S$ is Gâteaux differentiable is a dense set.

## A Generalization of Rademacher's Theorem

Theorem (Mignot, 1976; Aronszajn, 1976)
Let $X$ be a separable Banach space and let $Y$ be a Hilbert space. Let $S$ be a Lipschitz function from $X$ into $Y$. Then the set of points in $X$ where $S$ is Gâteaux differentiable is a dense set.

Corollary
Let $u \in U$ be arbitrary. Then there is an increasing sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $S$ is differentiable in each $u_{n}$ and $u_{n} \rightarrow u$.

## Overview

- for $u \in U$ we find an approximating increasing sequence of points $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ where $S$ is differentiable
- the monotone sequence of sets $\left(H_{0}^{1}\left(I\left(u_{n}\right)\right)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to $H_{0}^{1}(I(u))$
- for each $h \in U$ the directional derivatives $\left(S^{\prime}\left(u_{n} ; h\right)\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ increasing converge to the solution $\xi=\xi(u ; h)$ of the variational equation

$$
\text { Find } \xi \in H_{0}^{1}(I(u)): \quad\left\langle L \xi-f^{\prime}(u ; h), z\right\rangle=0 \quad \forall z \in H_{0}^{1}(I(u))
$$

as a consequence of the Mosco convergence
$\rightsquigarrow \xi(u ; \cdot) \in \mathcal{L}\left(U, H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ is an element of the generalized differential $\partial_{B} S(u)$

## Adjoint Representation of the Subgradient

- $J: H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuously differentiable
- consider reduced objective function $\hat{J}(u):=J(S(u), u)$ for optimal control of the obstacle problem
- $\partial_{C} \hat{\jmath}(u)$ Clarke subdifferential of $\hat{\jmath}$ in $u \in U$
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## Adjoint Representation

Let $q$ be the unique solution of the VE

$$
q \in H_{0}^{1}(I(u)): \quad\left\langle L^{*} q, v\right\rangle=\left\langle J_{y}(S(u), u), v\right\rangle \quad \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}(I(u)),
$$

then we have

$$
f^{\prime}(u)^{*} q+J_{u}(S(u), u) \in \partial_{C} \hat{J}(u) .
$$
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## Joint work with L. Hertlein and M. Ulbrich.
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- $f(\xi, \cdot)$ monotone and continuously differentiable
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- operators $\left(L_{\xi}\right)_{\xi \in \equiv}$ uniformly coercive
- $\equiv \ni \xi \mapsto L_{\xi} y \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ equi-Lipschitz continuous for all $y \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$
- $\left(J_{\xi}\right)_{\xi \in \Xi \text { continuously differentiable functions which are equi-Lipschitz }}$ continuous on bounded sets, e.g., $J_{\xi}(y, u)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|y-y_{\xi}^{d}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{\alpha}{2}\|u\|_{U}^{2}$ for bounded $\left(y_{\xi}^{d}\right)_{\xi \in \equiv \subseteq} \subseteq H^{1}(\Omega)$
- Remark: we impose these assumptions only for $P$-a.a. $\xi \in \equiv$
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- Intuitive idea: use formula $\partial_{C} \hat{J}(u) \stackrel{?}{=} \int_{\equiv} \partial_{C} \hat{\jmath}_{\xi}(u) d P(\xi)$
- Problem: regularity of the maps $\hat{\jmath}_{\xi}(\cdot)$ in $u$ in the sense of Clarke (Clarke, 1990) is needed 4
- Remedy: find common points of differentiability for almost all $\xi \in$ 三 to exchange limits and the integral
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- exists Lipschitz continuous $T$ on $\equiv \times U$ with $T(\xi, u)=S_{\xi}(u)$ for $P$-a.a. $\xi \in \equiv$


## Assumption

There is a nondegenerate Gaussian measure $\mathbb{P}$ on $\equiv$ such that $P \ll \mathbb{P}$.

- Example: ミ finite-dimensional and $P$ has any nonnegative function as density w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure $\lambda^{d}$


## Lemma

The map $T: \equiv \times V \rightarrow H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ is Gâteaux differentiable except on a $P \otimes \mathbb{V}$-null set in $\Xi \times V$. Here, $\mathbb{V}$ is an arbitrary nondegenerate Gaussian measure on $V(\hookrightarrow U)$.

- holds since set of nondifferentiability is Gauss null, i.e., each nondegenerate Gaussian measure vanishes on it (Benyamini, Lindenstrauß, 2000)


## Optimal Control of a Stochastic VI: Result

## Corollary

There is an increasing sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq U$ converging to $u$ where $T(\xi, \cdot)=S_{\xi}(\cdot)$ is differentiable for $P$-almost all $\xi \in$ 三.

Theorem (Hertlein, R., Ulbrich, Ulbrich, 2019)
Let $u \in U$ be arbitrary. A Clarke subgradient for $\hat{J}=\int_{\equiv} J_{\xi}\left(S_{\xi}(\cdot), \cdot\right)$ is given by $\int_{\equiv} \Sigma_{\xi}(u) d P(\xi)$, where $\Sigma_{\xi}(u)$ is the Clarke subgradient of $\hat{J}_{\xi}=J_{\xi}\left(S_{\xi}(\cdot)\right.$, $\left.\cdot\right)$ we have constructed in the first part of the talk.

Proof strategy:
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There is an increasing sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq U$ converging to $u$ where $T(\xi, \cdot)=S_{\xi}(\cdot)$ is differentiable for $P$-almost all $\xi \in$ 三.

Theorem (Hertlein, R., Ulbrich, Ulbrich, 2019)
Let $u \in U$ be arbitrary. A Clarke subgradient for $\hat{J}=\int_{\equiv} J_{\xi}\left(S_{\xi}(\cdot), \cdot\right)$ is given by $\int_{\equiv} \Sigma_{\xi}(u) d P(\xi)$, where $\Sigma_{\xi}(u)$ is the Clarke subgradient of $\hat{J}_{\xi}=J_{\xi}\left(S_{\xi}(\cdot)\right.$, $\left.\cdot\right)$ we have constructed in the first part of the talk.

## Proof strategy:

- $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ increasing sequence of differentiability points of $S_{\xi}(\cdot)$
- exchange limit and integral to obtain differentiability of $\hat{J}$ in each $u_{n}$
- exchange limit and integral to obtain that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\jmath}_{u}\left(u_{n}\right)=\int_{\equiv} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\hat{J}_{\xi}\right)_{u}\left(u_{n}\right) d P(\xi)$


## Shape Optimization: <br> Problem Setting and Assumptions

Obstacle Problem Dependent on Domains

$$
\text { Find } y_{\tau} \in K_{\psi, \Omega_{\tau}}: \quad\left\langle-\Delta y_{\tau}, z-y_{\tau}\right\rangle_{H^{-1}\left(\Omega_{\tau}\right), H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\tau}\right)} \geq 0 \quad \forall z \in K_{\psi, \Omega_{\tau}}
$$

- $U=C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)^{d}$ with norm
$\|u\|_{U}=\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)^{d}}+\|\nabla u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)^{d, d}}$
- $\Omega_{\tau}=\tau(\Omega), \tau=i d_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}+u$
- $u \in U_{\rho}:=\left\{u \in U:\|u\|_{u}<\rho\right\}, \rho>0$ small enough
- $\Omega_{\tau}$ bounded domains with $C^{1}$-boundary


## Shape Optimization: <br> Problem Setting and Assumptions

Obstacle Problem Dependent on Domains

$$
\text { Find } y_{\tau} \in K_{\psi, \Omega_{\tau}}: \quad\left\langle-\Delta y_{\tau}, z-y_{\tau}\right\rangle_{H^{-1}\left(\Omega_{\tau}\right), H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\tau}\right)} \geq 0 \quad \forall z \in K_{\psi, \Omega_{\tau}}
$$

- $U=C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)^{d}$ with norm
$\|u\|_{u}=\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)^{d}}+\|\nabla u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)^{d, d}}$
- $\Omega_{\tau}=\tau(\Omega), \tau=i d_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}+u$
- $u \in U_{\rho}:=\left\{u \in U:\|u\|_{u}<\rho\right\}, \rho>0$ small enough
- $\Omega_{\tau}$ bounded domains with $C^{1}$-boundary
- $\psi \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, ess $\sup _{\omega \in \Omega} \psi(\omega)>0$
- assume there exists $\delta>\rho$ with $\left.\psi\right|_{G_{\delta}}<0$ on $G_{\delta}=\{\omega \in \Omega: \operatorname{dist}(\omega, \partial \Omega) \leq \delta\}$
- $K_{\psi, \Omega_{\tau}}=\left\{z \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{\tau}\right): z \geq \psi\right.$ q.e. on $\left.\Omega_{\tau}\right\}$,



## Shape Optimization: <br> A Reformulation and Possible Approaches

- $y^{\tau}=y_{\tau} \circ \tau \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \psi^{\tau}=\psi \circ \tau$

Reformulation of the Problem to a Problem on $\Omega$

$$
\text { Find } y^{\tau} \in K_{\psi^{\tau}}: \quad a_{\tau}\left(y^{\tau}, z-y^{\tau}\right) \geq 0 \quad \forall z \in K_{\psi^{\tau}}
$$

Here, $a_{\tau}$ is a bilinear form resulting from applying transformation to the above problem and $K_{\psi^{\tau}}=\left\{z \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega): z \geq \psi^{\tau}\right\}$.

- $S: U_{\rho, 0} \rightarrow H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ solution operator of the transformed problem on $U_{\rho, 0}=\left\{u \in U_{\rho}: \operatorname{supp}(u) \subset G_{\delta}\right\}$


## Shape Optimization: <br> A Reformulation and Possible Approaches

- $y^{\tau}=y_{\tau} \circ \tau \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \psi^{\tau}=\psi \circ \tau$

Reformulation of the Problem to a Problem on $\Omega$

$$
\text { Find } y^{\tau} \in K_{\psi^{\tau}}: \quad a_{\tau}\left(y^{\tau}, z-y^{\tau}\right) \geq 0 \quad \forall z \in K_{\psi^{\tau}}
$$

Here, $a_{\tau}$ is a bilinear form resulting from applying transformation to the above problem and $K_{\psi^{\tau}}=\left\{z \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega): z \geq \psi^{\tau}\right\}$.

- $S: U_{\rho, 0} \rightarrow H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ solution operator of the transformed problem on $U_{\rho, 0}=\left\{u \in U_{\rho}: \operatorname{supp}(u) \subset G_{\delta}\right\}$
- Task: find generalized derivative for $S: U_{\rho, 0} \rightarrow H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ at $u=0$
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- $y^{\tau}=y_{\tau} \circ \tau \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \psi^{\tau}=\psi \circ \tau$

Reformulation of the Problem to a Problem on $\Omega$

$$
\text { Find } y^{\tau} \in K_{\psi^{\tau}}: \quad a_{\tau}\left(y^{\tau}, z-y^{\tau}\right) \geq 0 \quad \forall z \in K_{\psi^{\tau}}
$$

Here, $a_{\tau}$ is a bilinear form resulting from applying transformation to the above problem and $K_{\psi^{\tau}}=\left\{z \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega): z \geq \psi^{\tau}\right\}$.

- $S: U_{\rho, 0} \rightarrow H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ solution operator of the transformed problem on $U_{\rho, 0}=\left\{u \in U_{\rho}: \operatorname{supp}(u) \subset G_{\delta}\right\}$
- Task: find generalized derivative for $S: U_{\rho, 0} \rightarrow H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ at $u=0$
- Strategy: use sequence of transformations that transforms active sets monotonically


## Shape Optimization: Key Properties and Result

Theorem (R., Ulbrich, 2019)
The solution operator of the variational equation

$$
\text { Find } \xi \in H_{0}^{1}(I(0)): \quad a_{\mathrm{id}}(\xi, z)+\left\langle a_{\mathrm{id}}^{\prime}(S(0), z), h\right\rangle=0 \quad \forall z \in H_{0}^{1}(I(0))
$$

is a Bouligand generalized derivative of $S: U_{\rho, 0} \rightarrow H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ at $u=0$.
Proof strategy:

## Shape Optimization: Key Properties and Result

Theorem (R., Ulbrich, 2019)
The solution operator of the variational equation

$$
\text { Find } \xi \in H_{0}^{1}(I(0)): \quad a_{\mathrm{id}}(\xi, z)+\left\langle a_{\mathrm{id}}^{\prime}(S(0), z), h\right\rangle=0 \quad \forall z \in H_{0}^{1}(l(0))
$$

is a Bouligand generalized derivative of $S: U_{\rho, 0} \rightarrow H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ at $u=0$.
Proof strategy:

- Lipschitz continuity of $S$ on $U_{\rho, 0}$
- $S$ is directionally differentiable on $U_{\rho, 0}$ and directional derivative is solution to variational inequality on critical cone

$$
\left\{z \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega): z \geq 0 \text { q.e. on } A(u), a_{\mathrm{id}+u}(S(u), z)=0\right\}
$$

- monotonicity of the active sets: $u_{1}, u_{2} \in U_{\rho, 0}, \Omega_{\mathrm{id}+u_{1}} \subset \Omega_{\mathrm{id}+u_{2}} \rightsquigarrow A\left(u_{1}\right) \supset A\left(u_{2}\right)$
- existence of differentiability points with above property


## Outline

## Derivation of a Generalized Derivative

## Related Problems, Applications and Extensions

Remarks and Conclusion

## Remarks

- it is possible to characterize the whole generalized differential for the solution operator of the basic obstacle problem with distributed forces (no operator $f$ )

$$
\text { Find } y \in K_{\psi}: \quad\langle L y-u, z-y\rangle_{H^{-1}(\Omega), H_{0}^{\prime}(\Omega)} \geq 0 \quad \forall z \in K_{\psi}
$$

(R., Wachsmuth, 2019)

- also possible when using different combinations of topologies in the definition of the generalized differential
- current work on error estimates for discretized inexact subgradients


## Conclusion

- derivation of a generalized derivative for the obstacle problem
- extension to the optimal control of a stochastic obstacle problem
- extension to a shape optimization problem for the obstacle problem
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