

Lecture 4

31.10.16

Recall:

$A: D(A) \subset H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ linear, densely defined, closed

$A^*: D(A^*) \subset H_2 \rightarrow H_1$ linear, densely defined, closed



switch to
inj. operators

$$H_1 = \mathcal{N}(A) \oplus_{H_1} \overline{R(A^*)}$$

$$H_2 = \mathcal{N}(A^*) \oplus_{H_2} \overline{R(A)}$$

$$\hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}(A)^\perp = \overline{R(A^*)}$$

$$\mathcal{J}: D(\mathcal{J}) := D(A) \cap \overline{R(A^*)} \subset \overline{R(A^*)} \rightarrow \overline{R(A)}$$

$$\mathcal{J}^*: D(\mathcal{J}^*) := D(A^*) \cap \overline{R(A)} \subset \overline{R(A)} \rightarrow \overline{R(A^*)}$$

$\Rightarrow (A, A^*)$ & $(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^*)$ are dual pairs
[i.e. $A^{**} = A$ resp. $\mathcal{J}^{**} = \mathcal{J}$]

$$D(A) = \mathcal{N}(A) \oplus_{H_1} D(\mathcal{J}) \Rightarrow R(A) = R(\mathcal{J})$$

$$D(A^*) = \mathcal{N}(A^*) \oplus_{H_2} D(\mathcal{J}^*) \Rightarrow R(A^*) = R(\mathcal{J}^*)$$

cf. exercise 1, Problem 6 we have

$$D(\mathcal{J}) \hookrightarrow H_1 \Leftrightarrow D(\mathcal{J}^*) \hookrightarrow H_2$$



$R(A) = R(\mathcal{J})$ is closed

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists C_A > 0 \quad \forall x \in D(\mathcal{J}):$$

$$\|x\|_{H_1} \leq C_A \|Ax\|_{H_2}$$

$R(T^*) = R(T)$ is closed

$\Leftrightarrow \exists c_{T^*} > 0 \forall y \in D(T^*):$

$$\|y\|_{H_2} \leq c_{T^*} \|T^*y\|_{H_1}$$

We even have: $c_T = c_{T^*}$,

if we define:

$$1/c_T := \inf_{0 \neq x \in D(T)} \frac{\|Tx\|_{H_2}}{\|x\|_{H_1}}, \quad 1/c_{T^*} := \inf_{0 \neq y \in D(T^*)} \frac{\|T^*y\|_{H_1}}{\|y\|_{H_2}}$$

sequences:

Let $T_0: D(T_0) \subset H_0 \rightarrow H_1$, $T_1: D(T_1) \subset H_1 \rightarrow H_2$,

T_0, T_1, T_0^*, T_1^* as above and assume

$$\boxed{T_1 T_0 = 0} \quad \text{sequence (complex) property}$$

(indeed here should be a " \subset ", since 0 has a bigger domain of defin.)

$$\Leftrightarrow R(T_0) \subset N(T_1)$$

$$\stackrel{?}{\Leftrightarrow} R(T_1^*) \subset N(T_0^*)$$

(We can also put closure bars, since closed operators have closed kernels)

Theorem 5: (HH-decompositions)

$$* H_0 = N(T_0) \oplus_{H_0} \overline{R(T_0^*)}$$

$$* H_1 = \underbrace{N(T_1)}_{\cup} \oplus_{H_1} \overline{R(T_1^*)} \Rightarrow N(T_1) = \overline{R(T_0)} \oplus_{H_1} K_1$$
$$= \overline{R(T_0)} \oplus_{H_1} N(T_0^*)$$

$$\Rightarrow H_1 = \overline{R(T_0)} \oplus_{H_1} K_1 \oplus_{H_1} \overline{R(T_1^*)}$$

If additionally $T_2: D(T_2) \subset H_2 \rightarrow H_3$ linear, densely defined, closed with $T_2 T_1 = 0$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} * H_2 &= \underbrace{N(T_2)}_{\cup} \oplus_{H_2} \overline{R(T_2^*)} \Rightarrow N(T_2) = \overline{R(T_1)} \oplus_{H_2} K_2 \\ &= \overline{R(T_1)} \oplus_{H_2} N(T_1^*) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow H_2 = \overline{R(T_1)} \oplus_{H_2} K_2 \oplus_{H_2} \overline{R(T_2^*)}$$

$$* H_3 = \overline{R(T_2)} \oplus_{H_3} N(T_2^*)$$

The spaces $K_1 := N(T_0^*) \cap N(T_1)$ and $K_2 := N(T_1^*) \cap N(T_2)$ are called "cohomology groups"

Proof:

Exercise 2, Problem 2

□

Primal & dual sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} D(T_0) &\xrightarrow{T_0} D(T_1) \xrightarrow{T_1} D(T_2) \xrightarrow{T_2} H_3 \quad (\text{primal}) \\ H_0 &\xleftarrow{T_0^*} D(T_0^*) \xleftarrow{T_1^*} D(T_1^*) \xleftarrow{T_2^*} D(T_2^*) \quad (\text{dual}) \end{aligned}$$

Definition:

We call a sequence

- * complex : $\Leftrightarrow R(T_i) \subset N(T_{i+1})$
- * closed : $\Leftrightarrow R(T_i)$ is closed
- * exact : $\Leftrightarrow K_i = \{0\}$
(then: $\overline{R(T_i)} = N(T_{i+1})$)

Remark:

We have

- * primal sequence is a complex
 \Leftrightarrow dual sequence is a complex.
- * primal complex is closed
 \Leftrightarrow dual complex is closed.
("closed range theorem")
- * primal complex is exact
 \Leftrightarrow dual complex is exact.
 $(N(T_2) = \overline{R(T_1)} \oplus_{H_2} K_2, N(T_1^*) = K_1 \oplus \overline{R(T_2^*)})$

If (T, T^*) is a dual pair, T linear, densely defined, closed, then

- * T^*T, TT^* are selfadjoint.
- * $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & T^* \\ T & 0 \end{pmatrix} : D(T) \times D(T^*) \subset H_1 \times H_2 \rightarrow H_1 \times H_2$
is selfadjoint.
- * $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -T^* \\ T & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is skew-selfadjoint.
 $\leadsto i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -T^* \\ T & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is selfadjoint.

Assume: $R(T_0)$ & $R(T_1)$ are closed

$$\Rightarrow H_1 = R(T_0) \oplus_{H_1} K_1 \oplus_{H_1} R(T_1^*)$$
$$\hookrightarrow D(T_1) = R(T_0) \oplus_{H_1} K_1 \oplus_{H_1} D(T_1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow D_A &:= D(A_1) \cap D(A_0^*) \\ &= D(A_0^*) \oplus_{H_1} K_A \oplus_{H_1} D(A_1) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6:

Let $R(A_0)$ & $R(A_1)$ be closed. Then:

$$\forall x \in D_A \cap K_A^\perp: \|x\|_{H_1}^2 \leq c_{A_0}^2 \|A_0^* x\|_{H_0}^2 + c_{A_1}^2 \|A_1 x\|_{H_2}^2$$

Proof:

$$\text{Let } y \in D_A \cap K_A^\perp$$

$$\Rightarrow y = y_0 + y_1 \in D(A_0^*) \oplus_{H_1} D(A_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \|y\|_{H_1}^2 \leq \|y_0\|_{H_1}^2 + \|y_1\|_{H_1}^2$$

$$\leq c_{A_0}^2 \|A_0^* y_0\|_{H_0}^2 + c_{A_1}^2 \|A_1 y_1\|_{H_2}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} D(A_0^*) \subset \mathcal{N}(A_1) \\ D(A_1) \subset \mathcal{N}(A_0^*) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow = c_{A_0}^2 \|A_0^* y\|_{H_0}^2 + c_{A_1}^2 \|A_1 y\|_{H_2}^2$$

□

Apply "toolbox" to the Maxwell case:

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ open; $\varepsilon, \mu: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$;

$\varepsilon, \mu \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, symmetric and uniformly positive definite.

$$\hat{A}_0 := \hat{\nabla}: \dot{C}^\infty \subset L^2 \rightarrow L^2_\varepsilon, \hat{A}_0 u = \nabla u$$

$$\hat{A}_1 := \mu^{-1} \text{rot}: \dot{C}^\infty \subset L^2_\varepsilon \rightarrow L^2_\mu, \hat{A}_1 u = \mu^{-1} \text{rot} u$$

$$\hat{A}_2 := \text{div} \mu: \mu^{-1} \dot{C}^\infty \subset L^2_\mu \rightarrow L^2, \hat{A}_2 u = \text{div}(\mu u)$$

\leadsto all operators are linear, densely defined; but are they closable?

e.g. \hat{A}_1 closable:

$\forall (x_n)_n \subset D(\hat{A}_1) = \dot{C}^\infty$ with

$$x_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ \& \; } \hat{A}_1 x_n = \mu^{-1} \text{rot } x_n \rightarrow y$$

$\Rightarrow \forall \phi \in \dot{C}^\infty$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y, \phi \rangle_{L^2_\mu} &= \langle y, \mu \phi \rangle_{L^2} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mu^{-1} \text{rot } x_n, \mu \phi \rangle_{L^2} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \text{rot } x_n, \phi \rangle_{L^2} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n, \text{rot } \phi \rangle_{L^2} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow y = 0$$

$\Rightarrow \hat{A}_1$ is closable.

□

\leadsto closed operators: $D(\overline{\hat{A}_i}) = \overline{D(\hat{A}_i)}^{G(\hat{A}_i)}$

$$\lambda_0 := \overline{\hat{\lambda}_0} = \overset{\circ}{\nabla} : \overset{\circ}{H}^1 \subset L^2 \rightarrow L^2_\varepsilon$$

$$\lambda_1 := \overline{\hat{\lambda}_1} = \mu^{-1} \text{rot} : \overset{\circ}{R} \subset L^2_\varepsilon \rightarrow L^2_\mu$$

$$\lambda_2 := \overline{\hat{\lambda}_2} = \text{div } \mu = \mu^{-1} \overset{\circ}{D} \subset L^2_\mu \rightarrow L^2$$

(of course: linear, densely defined and now closed)

\leadsto compute the adjoints:

$$* \quad u \in D(\overset{\circ}{\nabla}^*) \wedge \overset{\circ}{\nabla}^* u = f$$

$$\Leftrightarrow u \in L^2_\varepsilon \wedge \exists f \in L^2 \quad \forall \psi \in D(\overset{\circ}{\nabla}) = \overset{\circ}{H}^1:$$

$$\langle u, \nabla \psi \rangle_{L^2_\varepsilon} = \langle f, \psi \rangle_{L^2}$$

||

$$\langle \varepsilon u, \nabla \psi \rangle_{L^2}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \varepsilon u \in D \wedge f = -\operatorname{div} \varepsilon u$$

$$\Leftrightarrow u \in \varepsilon^{-1} D \wedge f = -\operatorname{div} \varepsilon u$$

$$\leadsto \boxed{A_0^* = -\operatorname{div} \varepsilon : \varepsilon^{-1} D \subset L^2_\varepsilon \rightarrow L^2}$$

$$* u \in D(\mu^{-1} \operatorname{rot}) \wedge \mu^{-1} \operatorname{rot}^* u = f$$

$$\Leftrightarrow u \in L^2_\mu \wedge \exists f \in L^2_\varepsilon \forall \varphi \in D(\mu^{-1} \operatorname{rot}) = \mathring{R} :$$

$$\langle u, \mu^{-1} \operatorname{rot} \varphi \rangle_{L^2_\mu} = \langle f, \varphi \rangle_{L^2_\varepsilon}$$

$$\langle u, \operatorname{rot} \varphi \rangle_{L^2} = \langle \varepsilon f, \varphi \rangle_{L^2}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow u \in R \wedge \operatorname{rot} u = \varepsilon f$$

$$\Leftrightarrow u \in R \wedge f = \varepsilon^{-1} \operatorname{rot} u$$

$$\leadsto \boxed{A_1^* = \varepsilon^{-1} \operatorname{rot} : R \subset L^2_\mu \rightarrow L^2_\varepsilon}$$

$$* u \in D(\operatorname{div} \mu^*) \wedge \operatorname{div} \mu^* u = f$$

$$\Leftrightarrow u \in L^2 \wedge \exists f \in L^2_\mu \forall \varphi \in D(\operatorname{div} \mu) = \mu^{-1} \mathring{D} :$$

$$\langle u, \operatorname{div} \mu \varphi \rangle_{L^2} = \langle f, \varphi \rangle_{L^2_\mu}$$

$$\langle f, \mu \varphi \rangle_{L^2}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow u \in H^1 \wedge f = -\nabla u$$

$$\leadsto \boxed{A_2^* = -\nabla : H^1 \subset L^2 \rightarrow L^2_\mu}$$

Now apply Theorem 5:

$$\begin{aligned} * L^2 &= \mathcal{N}(\mathring{\nabla}) \oplus_{L^2} \overline{R(-\operatorname{div} \varepsilon)} \\ &= \{0\} \oplus_{L^2} \overline{R(\operatorname{div})} = \overline{R(\operatorname{div})} \\ &= \overline{\operatorname{div} D} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
* L_{\varepsilon}^2 &= \mathcal{N}(\mu^{-1} \mathring{\text{rot}}) \oplus_{L_{\varepsilon}^2} \overline{R(\varepsilon^{-1} \text{rot})} \\
&= \mathring{R}_0 \oplus_{L_{\varepsilon}^2} \varepsilon^{-1} \overline{\text{rot} R} \\
&= \overline{R(\mathring{\nabla})} \oplus_{L_{\varepsilon}^2} \mathcal{N}(-\text{div} \varepsilon) \\
&= \overline{\mathring{\nabla} \mathring{H}^1} \oplus_{L_{\varepsilon}^2} \varepsilon^{-1} D_0 \\
&= \overline{R(\mathring{\nabla})} \oplus_{L_{\varepsilon}^2} [\mathcal{N}(-\text{div} \varepsilon) \cap \mathcal{N}(\mu^{-1} \mathring{\text{rot}})] \oplus_{L_{\varepsilon}^2} \overline{R(\varepsilon^{-1} \text{rot})} \\
&\quad = \mathcal{N}(\mu^{-1} \mathring{\text{rot}}) \\
&\quad = \mathcal{N}(-\text{div} \varepsilon) \\
&= \overline{\mathring{\nabla} \mathring{H}^1} \oplus_{L_{\varepsilon}^2} (\mathring{R}_0 \cap \varepsilon^{-1} D_0) \oplus_{L_{\varepsilon}^2} \varepsilon^{-1} \overline{\text{rot} R} \\
&\quad = \mathring{R}_0 \\
&\quad = \varepsilon^{-1} D_0
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
* L_{\mu}^2 &= \mathcal{N}(\text{div} \mu) \oplus_{L_{\mu}^2} \overline{R(\mathring{\nabla})} \\
&= \mu^{-1} \mathring{D}_0 \oplus_{L_{\mu}^2} \overline{\mathring{\nabla} H^1} \\
&= \overline{R(\mu^{-1} \mathring{\text{rot}})} \oplus_{L_{\mu}^2} \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon^{-1} \text{rot}) \\
&= \mu^{-1} \mathring{\text{rot}} \mathring{R} \oplus_{L_{\mu}^2} R_0 \\
&= \overline{R(\mu^{-1} \mathring{\text{rot}})} \oplus_{L_{\mu}^2} [\mathcal{N}(\text{div} \mu) \cap \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon^{-1} \text{rot})] \oplus_{L_{\mu}^2} \overline{R(\mathring{\nabla})} \\
&\quad = \mathcal{N}(\text{div} \mu) \\
&\quad = \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon^{-1} \text{rot}) \\
&= \overline{\mu^{-1} \mathring{\text{rot}} \mathring{R}} \oplus_{L_{\mu}^2} (\mu^{-1} \mathring{D}_0 \cap R_0) \oplus_{L_{\mu}^2} \overline{\mathring{\nabla} H^1} \\
&\quad = \mu^{-1} \mathring{D}_0 \\
&\quad = R_0
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
* L^2 &= \overline{R(\text{div} \mu)} \oplus_{L^2} \mathcal{N}(\mathring{\nabla}) \\
&= \text{div} \mathring{D} \oplus_{L^2} \mathbb{R}
\end{aligned}$$

We call:

$$* \mathcal{H}_D := \mathring{R}_0 \cap \varepsilon^{-1} D_0 \quad \text{'' Dirichlet Fields''}$$

$$* \mathcal{H}_N := R_0 \cap \mu^{-1} \mathring{D}_0 \quad \text{'' Neumann Fields''}$$

$A_0^* A_0 = \text{div } \overset{\circ}{\nabla}$, $A_1^* A_1 = \varepsilon^{-1} \text{rot } \mu^{-1} \overset{\circ}{\text{rot}}$ and
 $A_2^* A_2 = -\nabla \text{div } \mu$ are selfadjoint.

skew-selfadjoint are for example:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -A_0^* \\ A_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{div } \varepsilon \\ \overset{\circ}{\nabla} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -A_1^* \\ A_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon^{-1} \text{rot} \\ \mu^{-1} \overset{\circ}{\text{rot}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

↳ Maxwell-operator

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -A_2^* \\ A_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \nabla \cdot \\ \text{div } \mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Lecture 4½

02.11.16

We have seen:

$$\begin{aligned} N(A_0) &= \{0\} & \rightarrow \overline{R(A_0)} &= L^2 = \overline{\text{div } \overset{\circ}{D}} \\ N(A_2^*) &= \mathbb{R} & \rightarrow \overline{R(A_2^*)} &= \mathbb{R}^L = L_0^2 = \overline{\text{div } \overset{\circ}{D}} \end{aligned}$$

→ reduced operators (injective)

$$A_0: \overset{\circ}{H}^1 \subset L^2 \rightarrow \overline{\overset{\circ}{\nabla} \overset{\circ}{H}^1} \subset L_0^2$$

$$A_1: \overset{\circ}{R} \cap \varepsilon^{-1} \overline{\text{rot } R} \subset \varepsilon^{-1} \overline{\text{rot } R} \rightarrow \overline{\mu^{-1} \overset{\circ}{\text{rot}} R}$$

$$A_2: \mu^{-1} \overset{\circ}{D} \cap \overline{\nabla H^1} \subset \overline{\nabla H^1} \rightarrow \overline{\text{div } \overset{\circ}{D}} = \mathbb{R}^L$$

$$A_0^*: \varepsilon^{-1} \overset{\circ}{D} \cap \overline{\overset{\circ}{\nabla} \overset{\circ}{H}^1} \subset \overline{\overset{\circ}{\nabla} \overset{\circ}{H}^1} \rightarrow L^2$$

$$A_1^*: \overset{\circ}{R} \cap \overline{\mu^{-1} \overset{\circ}{\text{rot}} R} \subset \overline{\mu^{-1} \overset{\circ}{\text{rot}} R} \rightarrow \varepsilon^{-1} \overline{\text{rot } R}$$

$$A_2^*: \overset{\circ}{H}^1 \cap L_0^2 \subset L_0^2 \rightarrow \nabla H^1 \quad (L_0^2 = L_{\perp}^2)$$

$$D(A_0^*) \cap D(A_1) \iff H_2 \iff D(A_0) \iff H_0 \cap D(A_1) \iff H_1 \cap$$

H_1 is finite dimensional

~> Furthermore:

* $D(\mathcal{A}_0) \leftrightarrow H_0 \Leftrightarrow D(\mathcal{A}_0^*) \leftrightarrow H_1$

* $D(\mathcal{A}_1) \leftrightarrow H_1 \Leftrightarrow D(\mathcal{A}_1^*) \leftrightarrow H_2$

* $D(\mathcal{A}_0^*) \cap D(\mathcal{A}_1) \leftrightarrow H_2$

=> $R(\mathcal{A}_0)$ & $R(\mathcal{A}_1)$ are closed

\Leftrightarrow Poincaré-type estimates

In more detail:

$D(\mathcal{A}_0) \leftrightarrow H_0$

\Downarrow

$D(\mathcal{A}_0^*) \leftrightarrow H_1$

$\overset{\circ}{H}^1 \leftrightarrow L^2$ Rellich's sel. th.
(need: \mathcal{D} b.d.)

\Downarrow

$\varepsilon^{-1} \overline{D \cap \overset{\circ}{\nabla} H^1} \leftrightarrow L^2_\varepsilon$
 $= \varepsilon^{-1} \overline{D \cap \overset{\circ}{R}_0 \cap \mathcal{H}_0^\perp}$

$D(\mathcal{A}_1) \leftrightarrow H_1$

\Downarrow

$D(\mathcal{A}_1^*) \leftrightarrow H_2$

$\overset{\circ}{R}_n \varepsilon^{-1} \overline{\text{rot } R} \leftrightarrow L^2_\varepsilon$
 $= \overset{\circ}{R}_n \varepsilon^{-1} \overline{D_0 \cap \mathcal{H}_0^\perp} \quad (?)$

\Downarrow

$\overline{R \cap \mu^{-1} \overline{\text{rot } \overset{\circ}{R}}} \leftrightarrow L^2_\mu$
 $= \overline{R \cap \mu^{-1} \overset{\circ}{D}_0 \cap \mathcal{H}_N^\perp}$

$D(\mathcal{A}_2) \leftrightarrow H_2$

\Downarrow

$D(\mathcal{A}_2^*) \leftrightarrow H_3$

$\mu^{-1} \overline{\overset{\circ}{D} \cap \overline{\nabla} H^1} \leftrightarrow L^2_\mu$
 $= \mu^{-1} \overline{\overset{\circ}{D} \cap \overset{\circ}{R}_n \cap \mathcal{H}_N^\perp}$

\Downarrow

$H^1 \cap L^2_\perp = H^1_\perp \leftrightarrow L^2$

Rellich's sel. theorem
(need: \mathcal{D} b.d., segment property)

[\mathcal{D} weak Lipschitz:

$$H^1 \leftrightarrow L^2]$$

$$D(A_0^*) \cap D(A_1) \leftrightarrow H_1$$

$$\varepsilon^{-1} \mathring{D} \cap \mathring{R} \leftrightarrow L^2_\varepsilon$$

Wech's scl. theorem
(need: \mathcal{R}_μ bounded, weak Lipschitz)



$$D(A_0) \leftrightarrow H_0$$

$$\mathring{H}^1 \leftrightarrow L^2 \text{ (already: } \checkmark)$$

$$\wedge D(A_1) \leftrightarrow H_1$$

$$\wedge \mathring{R} \cap \varepsilon^{-1} \text{rot } R \leftrightarrow L^2_\varepsilon$$

$\wedge U_1 = N(A_1) \cap N(A_0^*)$
is finite dimens.

$\wedge \mathring{R}_0 \cap \varepsilon^{-1} \mathring{D}_0 = \mathcal{H}_0$ is
finite dimensional.

$$D(A_1^*) \cap D(A_2) \leftrightarrow H_2$$

$$R \cap \mu^{-1} \mathring{D} \leftrightarrow L^2_\mu$$

Wech's selection theorem
(need: \mathcal{R}_μ bounded, weak Lipschitz)



$$D(A_1) \leftrightarrow H_1$$

$$\mathring{R} \cap \varepsilon^{-1} \text{rot } R \leftrightarrow L^2_\varepsilon \text{ (?)}$$

$$\wedge D(A_2^*) \leftrightarrow H_3$$

$$\wedge H^1_\perp \leftrightarrow L^2$$

$\wedge U_2 = N(A_2) \cap N(A_1^*)$
is finite dimens.

$\wedge R_0 \cap \mu^{-1} \mathring{D}_0 = \mathcal{H}_\mu$ is
finite dimensional.

Poincaré-type estimates:

$$R(A_i) \text{ closed} \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in D(A_i): |x|_{H_i} \leq c_i |Ax|_{H_{i+1}}$$



$$R(A_i^*) \text{ closed} \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in D(A_i^*): |x|_{H_{i+1}} \leq c_i |A^*x|_{H_i}$$

\leadsto apply to the Maxwell case:

* $R(\lambda_0) = R(\mathring{\nabla}) = \mathring{\nabla} \mathring{H}^1$ closed (follows e.g. from Rellich's selection theorem)

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall x \in \mathring{H}^1: \|x\|_{L^2} \leq c_F \|\nabla x\|_{L^2_\varepsilon}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall u \in \varepsilon^{-1} \mathring{D} \cap \mathring{\nabla} \mathring{H}^1: \|u\|_{L^2_\varepsilon} \leq c_F \|\operatorname{div} \varepsilon u\|_{L^2}$$

* $R(\lambda_1) = \mu^{-1} \mathring{\operatorname{rot}} \mathring{R}$ is closed $\Leftrightarrow \mathring{\operatorname{rot}} \mathring{R}$ is closed (follows e.g. from Weck's selection theorem)

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall x \in \mathring{R} \cap \varepsilon^{-1} \mathring{\operatorname{rot}} \mathring{R}: \|x\|_{L^2_\varepsilon} \leq c_m \|\mu^{-1} \mathring{\operatorname{rot}} x\|_{L^2_\mu} = c_m \|\mathring{\operatorname{rot}} x\|_{L^2_{\mu^{-1}}}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall u \in \mathring{R} \cap \mu^{-1} \mathring{\operatorname{rot}} \mathring{R}: \|u\|_{L^2_\mu} \leq c_m \|\varepsilon^{-1} \mathring{\operatorname{rot}} u\|_{L^2_\varepsilon} = c_m \|\mathring{\operatorname{rot}} u\|_{L^2_{\varepsilon^{-1}}}$$

* $R(\lambda_2) = L^2_\perp$ is closed $\Leftrightarrow R(\lambda_2^*)$ is closed

$\Leftrightarrow \nabla H^1$ is closed (follows e.g. from Rellich's selection theorem: $H^1 \hookrightarrow L^2$)

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall x \in \mu^{-1} \mathring{D} \cap \nabla H^1: \|x\|_{L^2_\mu} \leq c_p \|\operatorname{div} \mu x\|_{L^2}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall u \in H^1_\perp: \|u\|_{L^2} \leq c_p \|\nabla u\|_{L^2_\mu}$$

Moreover:

$$* \mathcal{N}(\lambda_1) = \mathcal{K}_1 \oplus_{L^2_\varepsilon} R(\lambda_0)$$

$$\Rightarrow R(\lambda_0) = \mathcal{N}(\lambda_1) \cap \mathcal{K}_1^\perp_{L^2_\varepsilon}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathring{\nabla} \mathring{H}^1 = \mathring{R}_0 \cap \mathcal{H}_0^\perp_{L^2_\varepsilon}$$

$$* \mathcal{N}(\lambda_0^*) = \mathcal{K}_1 \oplus_{L^2_\varepsilon} R(\lambda_1^*)$$

$$\Rightarrow R(A_1^*) = N(A_0^*) \cap U_1^{\perp L^2_\varepsilon}$$

$$\Rightarrow \varepsilon^{-1} \text{rot } R = \varepsilon^{-1} D_0 \cap \mathcal{H}_D^{\perp L^2_\varepsilon}$$

$$* \quad N(t_2) = U_2 \oplus_{L^2_\mu} R(t_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow R(t_1) = N(t_2) \cap U_2^{\perp L^2_\mu}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu^{-1} \text{rot } \mathring{R} = \mu^{-1} \mathring{D}_0 \cap \mathcal{H}_N^{\perp L^2_\mu}$$

$$* \quad N(A_1^*) = U_2 \oplus_{L^2_\mu} R(t_2^*)$$

$$\Rightarrow R(t_2^*) = N(A_1^*) \cap U_2^{\perp L^2_\mu}$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla H^1 = R_0 \cap \mathcal{H}_N^{\perp L^2_\mu}$$

Lemma 7:

If \mathcal{J}_0 bounded and convex, we have

$$C_m \leq C_p \leq \frac{\text{diam } \mathcal{J}_0}{\pi}$$